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Abstract

Objective: The aim of this finite element study was to assess the effect of mechanical vibration force on tooth movement, stress distribution and velocity.

Methods: A 3D model was created using CBCT image of a patient with class 2 malocclusion. Three different analyses were performed on a single model where upper first premolars were extracted. At canine distalization stage; 150 gf, 150 gf and 30 Hz (0.2 N), 150 gf and 111 Hz (0.06 N) were applied to canine. The first moment effect of force and vibration were evaluated using the Algor Fempro finite element analysis program. Stress and displacement distribution were investigated comparatively.

Results: It was observed that the maximum displacement occurred in the second analysis (150 gf-30 Hz), while lower displacement was seen in the third analysis (150 gf-111 Hz), and the lowest amount of displacement was in the first analysis (150 gf). While only force application caused extrusion of the tooth, linear and vibration forces together caused intrusion. In the first analysis canine rotated in the distovestibule direction, but in the second and third analysis, canine showed distopalatal rotation.

Conclusion: It was concluded that in a certain range, mechanical vibration force may have accelerated tooth movement.
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1. Introduction

Speed, aesthetics and technology are the most important concepts in the 21st century. To meet the increasing demand of orthodontic treatment and to prevent root resorption, white spot lesions, caries, gingivitis, patients with loss of motivation, oral hygiene worsening and infections,
treatment must be completed quickly and efficiently.\textsuperscript{1-5} Hence acceleration of tooth movement has gained popularity.

Orthodontic tooth movement is; displacement of a tooth in its bony socket from one place to another place as a result of alveolar resorption and formation at a certain time.\textsuperscript{5}

It is necessary to affect the mechanical and biological components of the movement to accelerate it. Developed brackets and wires systems which are the mechanical components of movement have come to an advanced level and they reduce treatment time significantly as compared to the past.\textsuperscript{7, 8}

Chemical injection, surgery, ultrasound, laser, electric current application methods are intended to affect the biological component of movements to accelerate tooth movement, by reducing the resistance around the periodontal tissue and changing environmental factors.\textsuperscript{7-10} One of the newly introduced methods is to use non-invasive, cyclical vibration forces to accelerate tooth movement in recent years.\textsuperscript{11-13}

Studies conducted on the base of the skull and cranial sutures showed that cyclical force could create bone islands more effectively than static force, and vibration application could increase remodeling and gene regulation.\textsuperscript{14, 15}

Nishimura et al. showed that cyclical vibration force could increase RANKL value and accelerate the rate of tooth movement; with no damage on periodontal tissue in rats.\textsuperscript{11} Leethanakul et al. examined the secretion of interleukin (IL)-1b during tooth movement in vibration application. They determined that secretion and tooth movement were on higher levels on the side where vibration was applied.\textsuperscript{12} Pavlin et al. showed that low-level cyclical loading of 0.25 N at 30Hz increased the rate of tooth movement when applied as an addition to orthodontic treatment.\textsuperscript{16}

Besides proponents of vibration on acceleration tooth movement, there are also studies arguing that it has a slowing effect or have no effect at all. Disorderly organized fibers, reduced alveolar bone volume and slowed down the movement of tooth because of cyclical vibration force application were observed in the animal study which was done by Kalajzic at al.\textsuperscript{13}

The animal study that investigated the effects of low frequency mechanical vibration force (5,10,20Hz) by Nanda et al. and the randomized controlled clinical study about AcceleDent (30 Hz) on tooth movement by Woodhouse et al. showed that vibration force had no significant acceleration effect.\textsuperscript{17,18} Miles et al., in their randomized controlled trial, showed that application of a 111 Hz vibration force for 20 minutes per day had no effect on acceleration of tooth movement.\textsuperscript{19} There is insufficient information about the biomechanical effects of mechanical cyclical vibration force on tooth movement.

Finite element analysis (FEA) is a useful mathematical instrument for orthodontics and it can determine the amount of stress, strain, and displacement in the dentoalveolar complex after different loading conditions of force.\textsuperscript{20} Hence the aim of this study was to determine the biomechanical effect of vibration force on tooth movement at canine distalization phase using
FEM analysis, and compare the stress distribution between application of force only, and combined application of force and vibration.

2. Materials and Methods

A 20-year-old, non-syndromic male patient’s CBCT (ILUMA, 3M Imtec, Oklahoma, USA) data, with the decision of extracting upper 1st premolars because of orthodontic treatment, was used in this study. The principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki were followed.

CBCT DICOM data were obtained pre-operatively at 2-mm intervals to obtain geometric accuracy for modeling the maxilla. The DICOM data were imported into the 3D-DOCTOR (Able Software Corp., Massachusetts, USA) software and a 3D geometric model was constructed. For modelling the upper canine, model which was based on the anatomic information in Wheeler’s “Textbook of Dental Anatomy and Physiology”, made from plaster was used and it was scanned by Smart Optics (Activity 880, Bochum, Germany). Canine was modelled as homogeneous, not separating into enamel, dentin, pulp and cement layer. A 0.25 mm thick uniform structure of periodontal ligament (PDL) was obtained. Roth canine bracket (Ormco Corp., CA, USA) was scanned and placed on the center of the vestibule surface of canine.

Bone, tooth and bracket data were combined in Rhinoceros 4.0 (McNeel Inc., Seattle, WA, USA) software to obtain a common three-dimensional model. VRMesh Studio software (VirtualgridInc, Bellevue City, WA, USA) was used for regulation of the 3D network structure and to eliminate distorted sections. FEM analysis was performed using AlgorFempro (Algor Inc., USA) program.

Solid model consisted of bricks and tetrahedral elements. In the solid modeling system, all models were recognized as linear, homogeneous and isotropic materials. In our study, 147,942 tetrahedral elements and 34,410 nodes were used. Material properties were selected according to the literature and are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus MPa</th>
<th>Poisson’s Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>13,700</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular bone</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth (Dentin)</td>
<td>18600</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodontal ligament</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bracket</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boundary conditions were defined at the upper region of maxilla which was away from force application point and the model was fixed to have zero movement at each degree of freedom. When force was applied to the model, it received support from these regions. Support planes should be chosen far away from the analysis sites (Figure 1).
Three different FEA were carried out under different loading conditions on the same model. In the first analysis; a 150 g force (f) was applied to canine at bracket level from mesial to distal direction. In the second analysis, 150 g force (f) and 30 Hz vibration (0.2 N) and in the third analysis; 150 g force (f) and 111 Hz vibration (0.06 N), were applied by the 'Random Vibration Analysis' method. 150 gf was applied in all analyzes from mesial to distal direction. Vibration force was applied on a wide surface of the canine crown (mesial, distal, buccal and palatal direction), not on a single point. 30 Hz and 111 Hz were chosen to compare stress and displacement values of clinical trials and research.\(^{18,19}\)

Random vibration analysis is a linear analysis that is carried out using data from the natural frequency and power-spectral-density curve. These are representations of vibration frequencies and energy in a statistical form. The analysis determines displacement and stress distribution occurring per unit time.\(^{23}\) Measurements were made on mesial, distal, buccal and palatal surfaces of canine tooth socket both cortical and trabecular bone, and the maximum values of these measurements were taken into consideration.

Primary displacement pattern (sagittal, vertical, transversal), minimum and maximum principle stress level and Von misses stress distribution were analyzed undergoing force application only and application of both force and vibration, using FEM by linear static analysis.

\section{Results}

(Table 2) shows the 3D pattern of upper canine displacement; (Table 3) shows the stress distributions at maxillary bone around canine.
Table 2: Three-dimensional (3D) pattern of canine displacements are shown by mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Displacement value (mm)</th>
<th>150 gf</th>
<th>150 gf +30 Hz</th>
<th>150 gf +111 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crown level total displacement</td>
<td>0.001030</td>
<td>0.002885</td>
<td>0.001787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical level total displacement</td>
<td>0.000627</td>
<td>0.001850</td>
<td>0.001167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex level total displacement</td>
<td>0.000061</td>
<td>0.000312</td>
<td>0.000648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_X Crown movement</td>
<td>-0.000444</td>
<td>0.000206</td>
<td>0.000769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_X Cervical movement</td>
<td>-0.000054</td>
<td>0.000270</td>
<td>0.000600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_X Root apex movement</td>
<td>0.000020</td>
<td>0.000069</td>
<td>0.000182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_Y Crown movement</td>
<td>0.000914</td>
<td>0.002822</td>
<td>0.001503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_Y Cervical movement</td>
<td>0.000613</td>
<td>0.001816</td>
<td>0.000952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_Y Root apex movement</td>
<td>-0.000058</td>
<td>0.000282</td>
<td>0.000568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_Z Crown movement</td>
<td>-0.000168</td>
<td>0.000566</td>
<td>0.000584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_Z Cervical movement</td>
<td>0.000123</td>
<td>0.000224</td>
<td>0.000310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_Z Root apex movement</td>
<td>-2.954349e-006</td>
<td>0.000116</td>
<td>0.000255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Three-dimensional (3D) pattern of stress distributions at maxillary bone around canine shown by N/mm²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bone stress distribution values around canine</th>
<th>Tooth surface</th>
<th>150 gf</th>
<th>150 gf+30 Hz</th>
<th>150 gf+111 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max principle cortical bone values</td>
<td>Mesial</td>
<td>.062900</td>
<td>0.155340</td>
<td>0.080757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max principle cortical bone values</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>0.052771</td>
<td>0.147654</td>
<td>0.076761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max principle cortical bone values</td>
<td>Buccal</td>
<td>0.018374</td>
<td>0.234740</td>
<td>0.122035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max principle cortical bone values</td>
<td>Palatal</td>
<td>0.007224</td>
<td>0.174444</td>
<td>0.090689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min principle cortical bone values</td>
<td>Mesial</td>
<td>-0.034171</td>
<td>-0.107525</td>
<td>-0.055899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min principle cortical bone values</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>-0.063140</td>
<td>-0.102802</td>
<td>-0.053444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min principle cortical bone values</td>
<td>Buccal</td>
<td>-0.093914</td>
<td>-0.068584</td>
<td>-0.035655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Palatal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min principle cortical bone values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.095582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max principle trabecular bone values</td>
<td>Mesial</td>
<td>0.018496</td>
<td>0.033374</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max principle trabecular bone values</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>0.014015</td>
<td>0.012484</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max principle trabecular bone values</td>
<td>Buccal</td>
<td>0.008639</td>
<td>0.021861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max principle trabecular bone values</td>
<td>Palatal</td>
<td>0.002097</td>
<td>0.051118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min principle trabecular bone values</td>
<td>Mesial</td>
<td>-0.008205</td>
<td>-0.045881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min principle trabecular bone values</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>-0.006449</td>
<td>-0.026721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min principle trabecular bone values</td>
<td>Buccal</td>
<td>-0.006312</td>
<td>-0.023551</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min principle trabecular bone values</td>
<td>Palatal</td>
<td>-0.008177</td>
<td>-0.013468</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Displacement and stress distributions of the canine and the bone structure around canine are shown in (Figures 2-3).

**Displacements in the Transverse Plane (U_x)**
X-axis represents the palatal direction displacement on the model (Figure 2(A)). When evaluating U_x only, maximum movement occurred in the 3rd analysis.

**Displacements in the Sagittal Plane (U_y)**
Y-axis represents the distal direction displacement on the model (Figure 2(B)). Primary force application caused tipping in three analyses. While evaluating U_y only, maximum crown and cingulum movement occurred in the 2nd analysis, and maximum apex movement was in the 3rd analysis.

**Displacements in the Vertical Plane (U_z)**
Z-axis represents the sulcus direction displacement on the model (Figure 2(C)). While evaluating U_z only, maximum crown, cingulum and apex displacement occurred in the 3rd analysis. While force application by itself caused extrusion, application of force and vibration together caused intrusion.

**Total Displacement Values**
When evaluating total displacement, maximum movement occurred while applying force and 30 Hz vibration (2nd analysis), while less movement occurred while applying force only (1st analysis) (Figure 2(D, E)).

**Minimum – Maximum Principle Stress Values**
Maximum compressive and tensile values were analyzed separately for both cortical and trabecular bone layers.
Figure 2: (A) Transversal displacement values of canine at 150 gf (a), 150 gf - 30 Hz vibration (b), 150 gf - 111 Hz vibration (c). (B) Sagittal displacement values of canine at 150 gf (a), 150 gf - 30 Hz vibration (b), 150 gf - 111 Hz vibration (c). (C) Vertical displacement values of canine at 150 gf (a), 150 gf - 30 Hz vibration (b), 150 gf - 111 Hz vibration (c). (D) Total displacement values of canine at 150 gf (a,d), 150 gf - 30 Hz vibration (b,e), 150 gf - 111 Hz vibration (c,f) and (E) superimposition data before and after force application (d,e,f).
Figure 3: Minimum principle cortical (A,B,C,) and trabecular (D,E,F) bone values around canine at 150 gf (A,D), 150 gf - 30 Hz vibration (B,E), 150 gf - 111 Hz vibration (C,F). Maximum principle cortical (G, H, I) and trabecular (J, K, L) bone values around canine at 150 gf (G, J), 150 gf - 30 Hz vibration (H, K), 150 gf - 111 Hz vibration (I, L)

Cortical bone

Maximum compression level (minimum principle values) had highest value at distal (-0.102802 N/mm²) and palatal (-0.144310 N/mm²) surfaces of canine tooth on maxillary cortical bone in the 2nd analysis (Figure 3).

In the 2nd and 3rd analyses, maximum tensile stress value (maximum principle values) of the cortical bone around the tooth for each surface layer was found to be higher than it was in the 1st analysis. These values were higher than those in the 2nd and 3rd analyses. The highest values were obtained in the 2nd analysis of the masial side of canine (0.155340 N/mm²) (Figure 3).
Trabecular bone

The maximum compression values for cancellous bone layer were on the highest level in the 2nd analysis. Those in the 3rd analysis were found to be higher than those in the 1st.

It was seen that if a place showed a high stress level, minimum principal stress values were also higher. In the 2nd analysis, where an application of 30 Hz vibration was made, stress accumulation in the distal surface of canine (-0.026721N/mm²) was higher. In this region, this means more bone resorption may occur (Figure 3).

The maximum principle value was obtained in the mesial trabecular layer of canine in the 2nd analysis (0.033374 N/mm²). Maximum tensile level on all surfaces except the distal surface of canine was greatest in the 2nd analysis (Figure 3).

Vibration applications increased tensile values on the cortical bone and compression values on the trabecular bone in contrast to the application of force only.

Von Mises Stress Distribution

Von Mises value shows density and distribution of the stress level. Stress levels occurred around the canine on maxillary bone and at the cingulum level of the tooth. The highest stress levels were observed in the 2nd analysis (Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Von Mises stress distribution of canine at 150 gf (A), 150 gf - 30 Hz vibration (B), 150 gf 111 Hz vibrations (C)](image)

4. Discussion

In this study, three FEA's were done which simulated two different vibration interventions and a conventional force application for canine retraction. Although many clinical and animal research studies have been published about accelerated tooth movement effects of vibration, there is no article has compared the biomechanical effects on tooth and surrounding tissues.

Canine distalization is the most commonly used method to evaluate tooth movement. Many force values were used to examine the movement of canines in different studies so biologically most suitable force of 150 g was chosen for canine retraction.

There are many methods to accelerate tooth movement by activating remodeling process and many studies have been conducted on these methods. Application of a cyclical vibration force is one of these methods. There have been in vivo and in vitro studies which investigated the impact of mechanical vibration force on bone modeling and accelerating tooth movement. Some
of these studies showed a positive effect of vibration \textsuperscript{11,12,14,15} and some argued for a negative effect or no significant effect at all \textsuperscript{13,18,19}.

The real impact of cyclical vibration force on acceleration of tooth movement may not be determined clearly by in vivo studies because of ethical problems, dependence of usage by the patient and unknown biological effects on tissues. For this reason, an in vitro method was chosen to evaluate the real impact of vibration on movement. \textsuperscript{30} Hz \textsuperscript{13,16,18} and 111 Hz \textsuperscript{19} values were selected to evaluate the effects of low and high amounts of vibration on movement.

Guo et al. found that loading cyclical vibrational force could affect bone tissue and could be potentially harmful if applied in excess level, in the nonlinear FEA study conducted on L3-L5 lumbar vertebrae.\textsuperscript{26} Christiansen et al. reported that vibrational force could stimulate new trabecular bone formation and 60-70 Hz gave the best result.\textsuperscript{27} The vibration forces in certain values may affect the bone tissue as it is in our work.

Elements constructed based on the complex geometry of the body are generally in a tetrahedral shape. Gautam et al. reported that tetrahedral elements are ideal for human teeth and surrounding tissues’ characteristics.\textsuperscript{28} Bricks-tetrahedral elements were used in this study.

Structures in the model were accepted as homogeneous, isotropic and linear elastic in our study. The results obtained from generalization in this study will slightly vary from the reality. We believe that it is appropriate from a scientific point of view, because mechanical properties of materials and the amount of force used were kept constant and the model analyses were evaluated in relation to each other. Since there were no previous studies found evaluating the acceleration effect of vibration on tooth movement using the FEA method, findings were discussed as a whole and in comparison to each other.

Only force application’s minimum principle compression values and locations for trabecular and cortical bone were similar in the study by Kojiama et al.\textsuperscript{29,30} When vibration was applied, the variation of stress distribution changed. More stress accumulation at the distal surface of canine was seen in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} analysis which means that more bone resorption may occur. Vibration applications increased the tension values in cortical bone and the compression values in trabecular bone, in contrast to the results of applying force only.

The FEA study which was done by Xue et al. found that non-surgical canine distalization showed the slowest movement.\textsuperscript{22} the data were consistent with our study in the sagittal direction. Examining the amount of canine movement, application of 30 Hz vibration showed more movement. This finding is consistent with findings of studies claiming vibration accelerates tooth movement.\textsuperscript{11,12,14,15} this study also complies with clinical studies showing that cyclical vibration forces are effective on accelerating and stimulating tooth movements.\textsuperscript{12,16}

While evaluating the amount of movement, the application of 111 Hz showed more movement than the application of force only, but less movement than the application with 30 Hz. This finding is incompatible with the study which used the same vibration value.\textsuperscript{19}
Low vibration values have higher stimulation effects on movement, which may be related to closeness to the natural frequency value of canine; however, this subject needs further research. The limitation of this study is only to have examined the movement of canine and certain vibration values effects.

5. Conclusions

According to total displacement values, it was concluded that specific ranges of mechanical vibration force (30 Hz) may have accelerated tooth movement.

Vibration force application may influence the rate of tooth movement by affecting tension and compression values of cortical and cancellous bone layers.

It is thought that application of static and cyclical force together is more effective on tooth movement acceleration than application of static force only.
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